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is a useful “further-reading” section at the end. Overall, the book is very nicely produced, with clear figures and tables. If you want to learn or teach archaeological statistics with a heavy emphasis on non-confirmatory EDA and the importance of sampling rather than a more “classical” approach, then this book is highly recommended.
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This remarkable volume presents, in English, translations or brief synthetic discussions of all primary contemporary documents that contain references to terms that have been interpreted – not without considerable controversy – as referring to the island of Cyprus during the Bronze and Early Iron Ages or as connected to the debate over its identification. It is thus the first comprehensive and generally accessible sourcebook on what has been known for over a century as the Alashiya question. In a 15-page introduction, Knapp surveys the key evidence and arguments for and against the equation Alashiya = Cyprus, while making a strong case for the identification.

One hundred twenty-two “texts” are then presented in contributions by Gary Beckman, John Bennet, Anne D. Kilmer and Ruggero Stefanini, Piotr Michalowski, William L. Moran, Bozo G. Ockinga, Jack M. Sasson, Neal Walls, and Donald J. Wiseman. The texts appear in rough chronological order, as grouped by language, script, and culture (all dates centuries B.C.E.; number of texts noted in brackets): Eblaite (23rd [1]); Akkadian from Mari and Babylon (19th–18th [8]); Alalakh (18th–15th [4]), Amarna (14th [9]), Ugarit (13th–12th [7]), Hattusha (14th–13th [2]), and Babylon (mid-18th [1]); Hitite from Hattusha (15th–13th [14]); Ugaritic from Ugarit (14th–13th [18]); Hurrian from Ugarit and Hattusha (14th [2]); Egyptian hieroglyphic from Egypt (15th–11th [24]; 19th–18th [1]; 3rd [1]); Linear B from Knossos, Pylos, and Thebes (14th–13th [25]); biblical Hebrew [2]; and Phoenician (7th [1]; 4th [1]). Besides different forms of the term Alashiya, the Linear B, Eblaite, and Hurrian material contains discussions of ku-pi-ri-jo (“of Kypros,” whether as an adjective or an anthroponym) and terms speculatively connected with it – and with “copper” – in Semitic šhaphramel and Hurrian šhapalil. The Egyptian hieroglyphic texts are subdivided into those that refer respectively to a-si-wi-ja (= Asiya) and to a-ra-si-jo = Alashiya. Each text is accompanied by its date, its primary publication, and references to further detailed discussion (catalogue on pp. 79–83). The volume concludes with an extensive bibliography of relevant scholarship from 1849 through 1996, a general chart of Cypriot chronology, a less than complete map of sites, and a useful index. This volume is preliminary to the editor’s Alashiya: Onomastica, historica, archaeologica (Jonsered, forthcoming).

Some small points: Given the Egyptian Asiya-vs.-Alashiya evidence, and the apparent Greek translation of Asiya as Kupros in the decree of Canopus (239/8 B.C.), one certainly should have included texts with the Mycenaean ethnic adjectival forms a-si-wi-jo and a-si-wi-jo that contrast both with ku-pi-ri-jo and with a-ra-si-jo in the Linear B texts. Knapp (p. 1) doubts the equation of Mycenaean a-ra-si-jo with Alashiya because the Mycenaeans also use ku-pi-ri-jo. But on page 13, he himself puts forward the parallel of Deutschland/Allemagne/Germany/Tedesco, and Masson’s suggestion that Alashiya and Kupros may have referred to different parts of the island of Cyprus. Given the polyglot and multi-ethnic situation that prevailed in Crete and the Greek mainland – and Cyprus! – in the second millennium, the Linear B texts could contain more than one term, introduced at different times and in different places and circumstances, referring to the island of Cyprus or sublocales within it, one of which (ku-pi-ri-jo) eventually predominates. In fact the ethnic Αλασιωτας is known on Cyprus itself well into the historical period (cf. text §122).


This is the third major volume by Alain LeBrun and his colleagues on the Aceramic Neolithic settlement of Khirkiotia. So important is this site that the Aceramic Neolithic in Cyprus often is called the Khirkiotia Culture. Khirkiotia, originally excavated by Porphyrios Dikaios, has been the ongoing focus of the LeBrun team since 1977, making it the best-documented site in the Khirkiotia Culture in Cyprus. Like the previous volumes, this well-packaged monograph contains a wealth of new information based on excavations from 1988 to 1991, concentrating on the eastern sector of the settlement.

The first two chapters discuss stratigraphy and radiocarbon chronology. Chapters 3–9, written by LeBrun and dealing with architecture and the use of space, are the most innovative in this volume, and although some may disagree with LeBrun’s interpretations, his careful analyses deserve careful consideration. Chapters 8 and 9, concerned with domestic space usage and the spatial organization of the village, are particularly interesting.

Chapters 10–12 treat various aspects of the human remains: they are described in chapter 10, while chapter 11