SOCIAL SENSITIVITY IN BILINGUAL CHILDREN

Madelynne Moore & Catharine Echols, Ph.D. The University of Texas at Austin, Department of Psychology

INTRODUCTION

Background

Bilingual children exceed their monolingual peers in cognitive abilities (Hakuta, 1971; Perner & Lang, 1999; Bialystok & Barca, 2001; Blakemore & Choudhury, 2006) and social cognition (Greenberg, Bellena & Bialystok, 2003; Goetz, 2013). Bilingualism might therefore influence social sensitivity, which is the ability to accurately perceive and comprehend the behavior, feelings and motives of other individuals.

There has been little research demonstrating how social cognition and cognitive abilities influence one another, and even less that also considers the role of bilingual language exposure. Further, studies typically have segregated participants into either a monolingual or bilingual group for research purposes, thus failing to acknowledge the complex nature of bilingualism. Indeed, participants with significant exposure to, but not fluent in, a non-native language may have similar cognitive and social abilities as the bilingual participants (Carlson & Meltzoff, 2008; Genesee, Tucker, & Lambert, 1975; Verreyt, Woumans, Vandelanotte, Szmalec & Duyck, 2016).

Objective

The current study is designed to determine how children's social sensitivity increases with their level of language exposure, as well as to explore other factors—such as age, general perspective taking skills and executive function skills—that might contribute to social sensitivity.

HYPOTHESIS

As the degree of bilingualism increases, scores will also increase from the day and night task, perspective taking task, and communication task

METHODS

Participants

- Children were recruited through the Children's Research Center database
 - 36 to 95 months (n = 13); M = 61.308, SD = 13.708

Measures

- Child & Parent Language Questionnaire: Evaluates children's language history, usage, exposure, proficiency and education to create a combined score for the child's degree of bilingualism. This measure was adapted from the Bilingual Language Profile (Birdsong, Gertken, & Amengual, 2012) by Ramirez (2017) to make it applicable to children.
- **Communication Task:** A comprehension and production task in which children describe target objects in three conditions: (1) common ground, (2) privileged ground, and (3) baseline condition (Nadig & Sedivy, 2002). In the common ground condition only, adjectives are needed to distinguish two similar objects.
- **Day and Night Task:** An inhibitory control task in which the child is presented with two pictures: (1) a picture of the moon and stars and (2) a picture of the sun. The participant is required to say "day" for the moon and stars and "night" for the sun (Gerstadt, Joo Hong, & Diamond, 1994).
- Perspective Taking Task: Children were asked to take the perspective of a Lego photographer taking pictures across a series of trials that varied in: (a) the difference between the child's and photographer's perspective (0°, 90°, or 180°) and (b) complexity of the display (1, 2, or 4 objects; Frick, Mohring, & Newcombe, 2014).

MEASURES



Day & Night Task

"DAY"

"NIGHT"

TABLES

Coefficients ^a								ANOVAª						
				Standardize			1			Sum of		Mean		
		Unstandardized		d			L	Mod	el	Squares	df	Square	F	
		Coefficients		Coefficients			L	1	Regression	3876.807	4	969.202	1.750	
Mod	el	В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.			Residual	4430.885	8	553.861		
1	(Constant)	-37.522	44.293		847	.422			Total	8307.692	12			
	CombinedScore	.068	.140	.143	.486	.640		2	Regression	3845.322	3	1281.774	2.58	
	Age	171	.717	089	238	.818	L		Residual	4462.370	9	495.819		
	Tot_0	9.727	5.098	.678	1.908	.093	L		Total	8307.692	12			
	EFPerCor	.241	.312	.203	.771	.463	L	3	Regression	3591.054	2	1795.527	3.80	
2	(Constant)	-45.197	28.789		-1.570	.151	1		Residual	4716.638	10	471.664		
	CombinedScore	.084	.117	.176	.716	.492	L		Total	8307.692	12			
	Tot_0	8.897	3.524	.620	2.525	.033	L	4	Regression	3242.807	1	3242.807	7.043	
	EFPerCor	.227	.291	.192	.782	.454			Residual	5064.885	11	460.444		
3	(Constant)	-39.765	27.087		-1.468	.173			Total	8307.692	12			
	Tot_0	9.110	3.425	.635	2.660	.024	L	a. Dependent Variable: CG_PG						
	EFPerCor	.243	.283	.205	.859	.410		b. Pr	edictors: (Cons	stant), EFPer	Cor, Tot_	0, CombinedS	core, A	
4	(Constant)	-19.962	14.061		-1.420	.183		c. Predictors: (Constant), EFPerCor, Tot_0, CombinedScore						
	Tot_0	8.969	3.380	.625	2.654	.022		 d. Predictors: (Constant), EFPerCor, Tot_0 						
a. De	a. Dependent Variable: CG_PG							e. Pi	edictors: (Cons	stant), Tot_0				



CONCLUSIONS

Discussion

- In these analyses, children's performance on the Perspective Taking Task was the only variable that significantly predicted their social sensitivity, as measured in the Communication Task.
- Because perspective taking is an important component of communication, this finding suggests that both tests are capturing their respective constructs.
- The small sample size may account for the failure to find relations between language exposure, age or executive function and performance on the Communication Task.

Future Directions

232b

118

0500

.022°

- By increasing the sample size significantly, there may be a fuller range in children's degree
 of bilingualism which could permit a better assessment of its influence.
- The next step is to modify or find a new communication task, as the current measure has brought challenges throughout the study.

REFERENCES

 Bialystok, E. & Barca, R. (2011). Emerging bilingualism: Dissociating advantages for metalinguistic awareness and executive control. Cognition, 122, 67-73. doi: 10.1016/j.cognition.2011.08.003

2. Birdsong, D., Gerken, L. M., & Amengual, M. (2012). Bilingual language profile: An easy-to-use instrument to assess bilingualism.

COERLL, University of Texas at Austin. 3. Blakemore, S.-J. & Choudhury, S. (2006). Development of the adolescent brain: Implications for executive function and social

 Blakemole, S.-J. & Choldnury, S. (2000). Development of the adolescent brain. Implications for executive function and cognition. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 47: 296–312. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7610.2006.01611.x

 Carlson, S.M., & Meltzoff, A.N. (2008). Bilingual experience and executive functioning in young children. Developmental Science, 11, 282-298.

5. Frick, A., Mohring, W., & Newcombe, N.S. (2014). Picturing perspectives: Development of perspective-taking abilities in 4 to 8 year olds. Frontiers in Psychology, 5(386). doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00386

6. Genesee, F., Tucker, G. & Lambert, W. (1975). Communication Skills of Bilingual Children. Child Development, 46(4), 1010-1014. doi:10.2307/1128415

7. Gerstadt, C.L., Joo Hong, Y., & Diamond, A. (1994). The relationship between cognition and action-performance of children 3 ½ - 7 years old on a Stroop-like day-night test. *Cognition*, 53, 129-153.

 Greenberg, A., Bellana, B., & Bialystok, E. (2013). Perspective-taking ability in bilingual children: Extending advantages in executive control to spatial reasoning. *Cognitive Development*, 28(1), 41-50.

9. Hakuta, K. (1987). Degree of bilingualism and cognitive ability in mainland Puerto Rican children. Children Development, 58(5), 1372-1388.

Nadig, A.S. & Sedivy, J.C. (2002). Evidence of perspective-taking constraints in children's online reference solution. *American Psychological Society*, 13(4), 329-336

 Perner, J. & Lang, B. (1999). Development of theory of mind and executive control. Trends in Cognitive Science, 3(9), 337-344. doi:10.1016/S1364-6613(99)01362-5

12. Ramirez, M. C. (2017). Cognate facilitation effects in bilingual children of varying language dominance (Master's thesis). Retrieved from Texas Scholarworks database. (OCLC no. 1030158811).

 Verreyt, N., Woumans, E., Vandelanotte, D., Szmalec, Á. & Duyck, W. (2016). The influence of language-switching experience on the bilingual executive control advantage. *Bilingualism: Language and Cognition*, 19(1), 181-190.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to Dr. Catharine Echols for her continuous support and mentorship. I would also like to thank Theresa Jones, Ariel Handy, and the Language Development Lab as this research would not be possible without their support.