# ANALYSIS OF THE EFFECTS OF CKDnt on HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EARNINGS

Heath Prince<sup>1</sup>, Thomas Boswell<sup>2</sup>, Jason Glaser<sup>3</sup>, Catharina Wesseling<sup>4</sup>, Ashweeta Patnaik<sup>5</sup>, William Martinez-Cuadra<sup>6</sup>,

Contact: heath.prince@austin.utexas.edu

<sup>1</sup> University of Texas, USA
<sup>2</sup> University of Texas, USA
<sup>3</sup> La Isla Network, USA
<sup>4</sup> Karolinska Institute, Sweden
<sup>5</sup> University of Texas, USA
<sup>6</sup> La Isla Network, USA

**Objective:** To study the socioeconomic outcomes associated with CKDnt in four communities in Chichigalpa, Nicaragua that are home to a substantial number of sugarcane workers.

## Methods:

### Design:

- Cluster-Based Systematic Sample
  - GIS Mapping Exercise to identify households in 4 communities
    - Guanacastal, Manhatan, El Gheto, Candelaria
  - > 1,851 Eligible Households Identified
- > 500+ Households Represented
  - Oversampling from worker-heavy communities
- Standardized practices to ensure primary wage-earners had opportunity to represent households.

### Data Collection:

- Questionnaire
  - Administered to Heads of Household
  - > 23 Modules, 6 topics
    - Family Information, Demographics, Income, Employment, CKDnt, Resilience

**Key Outcomes Analyzed:** 

- Earnings and expenditures over time (non-employment earnings)
- Employment History
- > Experience with CKDnt
- Marital Status on Household Heads

## **Results and Discussion:**

Key demographic, income, earnings, employment, and widowhood findings, by CKDnt status			
CDKnt Status	Non-CKDnt Households (n = 417)	CKDnt Households (n = 189)	Significant difference from Non-CKDnt
Demographics	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	
Head of household age (years)	44 (17.2)	46 (15.2)	ns
Female head of household	40% (49%)	14% (35%)	***
Own home	86% (35%)	89% (31%)	ns
Have two or more rooms just for sleeping	59% (49%)	59% (49%)	ns
Head of household unemployed	53% (50%)	86% (36%)	***
Income (in Nicaraguan Cordobas [C\$])			
Total annual income	73,615 (72,279)	74,688 (60,325)	ns
Average annual employment earnings	52,835 (61,380)	23,501 (40,081)	***
Average annual income from other sources	9,963 (27,906)	6,246 (14,765)	***
Average annual INSS payment	11,700 (26,797)	46,080 (50,816)	***
Monthly expenditures (in C\$)			
Education	826	665	ns
Medicine	391	540	**
Characteristics of Widowed households (13% of total) at follow-up	Due to causes other than CKDnt (n=17)	Due to CKDnt (n=62)	
Percentage makeup of widowhood cause	19%	81%	
Average age of widowed head of household, in years	71	58	***
Annual household income	C\$90,413	C\$57,959	ns

Findings:

- One third of households indicated a CKDnt or CKD diagnosis indicating high prevalence of disease across communities engaged in agricultural labor.
- 86% of CKD affected households indicated that the head had been unemployed for 6 months or longer.
- Households unaffected by CKD earned double that of CKD-affected households from employment earnings.
- Total income for CKD households slightly higher than non-CKD larger due to higher non-employment earnings (social security payments).
- Households head by widows or widowers due to CKDnt demonstrate deficits in total income.

#### **Discussion:**

- Households exhibited similarities on demographic characteristics and resource availability, indicating an effective sampling design.
- CKDnt-affected households supplement income from state-funded services, indicating a substantial public burden.
- CKDnt-affected households spend more on medicine and less on education than comparison households.
- The number of households headed by widows in the communities is 11 percentage points higher than the national average of 5.5%, exacerbating negative economic effects at the household level.

