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On September 1, 2021, Texas Senate Bill 8 (SB8) went into effect. The law bans abortion upon detection of embryonic cardiac activity, which can take place as early as 5 to 6 weeks after a person’s last menstrual period; SB8 only allows exemptions for medical emergencies. The law also permits almost anyone to sue abortion providers and others who ‘aid and abet’ a person obtaining abortion care in Texas after embryonic cardiac activity has been detected – or who intend to do so. People who have an abortion in Texas cannot be sued under SB8.

This is the most restrictive state-level abortion law in effect in the U.S., and it is expected to have a substantial impact on the number of facility-based abortions provided in Texas. If pregnant people are unable to obtain abortion care in Texas, many may seek services in another state. There are a limited number of facilities providing abortion care in nearby states, which may make it difficult for these facilities to accommodate an increase in patient demand.

In this brief, we describe changes in the number of abortions provided in Texas during the first 30 days that SB8 was in effect. We also report wait times until the next available appointment at out-of-state facilities in September 2021. Wait times serve as a measure of facility capacity to meet patient demand and are an important indicator of access for time-sensitive health care, such as abortion.

**TEXAS SERVICE AND POLICY CONTEXT AFFECTING TIMELY ACCESS TO CARE BEFORE SB8**

- Facilities that provide abortion care are geographically concentrated, and 44% of women aged 15 to 49 live in a county that does not have a facility; these Texans have to drive a median of 51 miles one way to reach the nearest facility.
- Patients are required to have a mandatory ultrasound ≥24 hours before an abortion, which necessitates 2 in-person visits (unless the patient lives ≥100 miles away); the ultrasound must be performed by the same physician who provides the abortion.
- Private insurance and Medicaid are prohibited from covering abortion, forcing patients to pay out of pocket.
- Minors are required to notify and obtain consent from a parent or have a court hearing and obtain permission from a judge; this process is known as judicial bypass.

The number of abortions in Texas fell by half following implementation of SB8.

We obtained monthly data on the total number of abortions provided at 19 of Texas’ 24 abortion facilities, which provide approximately 93% of all abortions reported in state annual vital statistics data. We compared the percent change in the number of in-state abortions that occurred between July and September 2021, relative to the same months in 2020.

There was a 3.0% change (95% CI: -0.1, 7.0) in the number of abortions provided in Texas in July 2021 (n=4,564), compared to July 2020 (n=4,432). This was followed by a 28% increase (95% CI: 23%, 33%) in August 2021 (n=5,377) compared to August 2020 (n=4,198), which likely reflects facilities’ expanded hours to accommodate more patients needing care in anticipation of SB8 going into effect.

Overall, 2,164 abortions were provided in September 2021 and 4,313 in September 2020, a 49.8% (95% CI: -52.4%, -47.2%) decrease.
For those patients who were still eligible for services in Texas, several factors may have allowed them to navigate Texas’ other abortion restrictions and obtain care before embryonic cardiac activity could be detected:

- With facilities seeing fewer patients overall, patients who were still eligible may have been able to schedule the state-directed counseling appointment (required at least 24 hours before an abortion) and return for their abortion with the same physician sooner than had been possible before SB8.
- Patients also may have decided to miss work, school or give up other responsibilities out of concern they would no longer be eligible if they waited to schedule around these obligations.
- Increases in financial donations following passage of SB8 may have helped patients living on low incomes to cover the cost of their abortion (about $650), which they otherwise may have delayed until they could secure enough money.

This decrease is larger than the 13% decline that occurred following the 2013 implementation of an omnibus abortion bill, House Bill 2 (HB2), which required physicians who provided abortion care to have admitting privileges at a nearby hospital, among other restrictions, and resulted in the closure of over half of Texas’ abortion facilities.\(^8\) It is also larger than the 38% decrease in abortions that occurred following Texas’ March 23, 2020 Executive Order, which prohibited most abortions for a period of 30 days at the onset of the coronavirus pandemic.\(^4\)

Over 40% of people seeking abortion care do not contact a Texas facility until after 6 weeks’ of pregnancy.\(^2\) Some of those who called for an appointment after September 1, 2021 were likely told they were ineligible for care based on the date of their last menstrual period, and others were turned away after an ultrasound showed embryonic cardiac activity. Additionally, people who were aware of SB8 may have expected that they would not be able to obtain an abortion in Texas after September 1, 2021, and therefore did not try to obtain in-state care at all.

For those patients who were still eligible for services in Texas, several factors may have allowed them to navigate Texas’ other abortion restrictions and obtain care before embryonic cardiac activity could be detected:

| Table 1: Texas’ largest documented decrease in abortion occurred following SB8 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| HOUSE BILL 2               | DATE IMPLEMENTED | CHANGE IN ABORTIONS         |
| EXECUTIVE ORDER            | Nov. 1, 2013      | - 13%                       |
| SENATE BILL 8              | Mar. 23, 2020     | - 38%                       |
|                            | Sept. 1, 2021     | - 50%                       |
Appointment wait times indicate Texans are straining capacity at out-of-state facilities.

Texas’ neighboring states of Arkansas, Louisiana, New Mexico, and Oklahoma have approximately half the number of abortion facilities, combined, and provide about one-third the number of abortions per year compared to Texas.\(^\text{10-13}\) With the exception of New Mexico, these states require patients to receive state-directed counseling and then wait at least 24 hours before they can obtain abortion care;\(^\text{14}\) they also require minors to notify a parent and/or obtain parental consent or obtain a judicial bypass.\(^\text{15}\)

We obtained information on the number of days until the next available state-directed counseling visit (Arkansas, Louisiana) or abortion appointment (New Mexico, Oklahoma) from mystery client calls placed to facilities in these four states in mid-September 2021.

We found that waiting times for appointments in September 2021 were longer in most cases, compared to waiting times in July 2020 (the most recent data available), suggesting these facilities were seeing a surge of patients. Waiting times exceeding 2 weeks were common at many locations. These waits may push pregnant people past the limit for medication abortion or into the second trimester of pregnancy, when procedures have a somewhat higher risk of complications compared to those obtained earlier in pregnancy.

Those who are able to travel out of state face economic hardships related to covering the cost of travel, lodging, lost wages, and childcare, in addition to their abortion, which together could sum well over $1,000.\(^\text{16}\) They also experience stress and stigma as they navigate logistical challenges in an effort to obtain medical care hundreds of miles away.\(^\text{17,18}\) These travel obstacles are even greater for those obtaining care in states that require 2 in-person visits.

Table 2: One-way driving distances from select Texas cities to out-of-state facilities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Arkansas</th>
<th>Baton Rouge</th>
<th>Shreveport</th>
<th>New Orleans</th>
<th>Albuquerque</th>
<th>Santa Fe</th>
<th>Las Cruces</th>
<th>Santa Teresa</th>
<th>Oklahoma City</th>
<th>Tulsa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMARILLO</td>
<td>592</td>
<td>795</td>
<td>551</td>
<td>870</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUSTIN</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>683</td>
<td>621</td>
<td>589</td>
<td>385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DALLAS</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>504</td>
<td>649</td>
<td>642</td>
<td>682</td>
<td>649</td>
<td>207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL PASO</td>
<td>951</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td>825</td>
<td>1094</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FORT WORTH</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>542</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>613</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>618</td>
<td>198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUBBOCK</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>778</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>853</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOUSTON</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>884</td>
<td>877</td>
<td>787</td>
<td>755</td>
<td>442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCALLEN</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>586</td>
<td>695</td>
<td>947</td>
<td>940</td>
<td>835</td>
<td>802</td>
<td>697</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAN ANTONIO</td>
<td>589</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>547</td>
<td>703</td>
<td>696</td>
<td>591</td>
<td>559</td>
<td>466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEXARKANA</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>775</td>
<td>767</td>
<td>855</td>
<td>822</td>
<td>314</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2: Location of out-of-state facilities relative to select Texas cities
### Border states at a glance

**ARKANSAS**
- Facilities providing abortion care: 2
- Nearest facilities: 313 miles from Dallas
- Wait times until first available appt.: 1-6 days Sept. 2021 (6-9 days July 2020)
- State-directed counseling required: Yes, in-person
- Waiting period after counseling: 72 hours
- Requirements for minors: Parental consent

**LOUISIANA**
- Facilities providing abortion care: 3
- Nearest facilities: 185 miles from Dallas; 240 miles from Houston; 416 miles from San Antonio
- Wait times until first available appt.: 8-19 days Sept. 2021 (8 days July 2020)*
- State-directed counseling required: Yes, in-person
- Waiting period after counseling: 24 hours
- Requirements for minors: Parental consent

*Two Louisiana facilities did not have appointments available in July 2020; one facility did not have appointments available in Sept. 2021.

**NEW MEXICO**
- Facilities providing abortion care: 6
- Nearest facilities: 20 miles from El Paso; 284 miles from Amarillo; 559 miles from San Antonio
- Wait times until first available appt.: 1-20 days Sept. 2021 (1-4 days July 2020)
- State-directed counseling required: No
- Waiting period after counseling: No
- Requirements for minors: No

**OKLAHOMA**
- Facilities providing abortion care: 4
- Nearest facilities: 198 miles from Fort Worth; 385 miles from Austin
- Wait times until first available appt.: 5-23 days Sept. 2021 (2-12 days July 2020)
- State-directed counseling required: Yes
- Waiting period after counseling: 72 hours
- Requirements for minors: Parental notification and consent
Conclusions and implications

The decrease in the number of abortions provided in Texas during the first 30 days that SB8 was in effect was considerably larger than previously documented decreases that followed the implementation of other restrictions, which created widespread disruptions to abortion service delivery in Texas. This large decline indicates that SB8’s very narrow criteria for providing in-state abortion care have excluded many pregnant people from obtaining abortions at Texas facilities.

The fact that many facilities maintained pre-SB8 staffing levels in the face of reduced patient volume, coupled with the increased availability of financial assistance for abortion care, may have prevented even greater declines in services.

However, the number of abortions provided at in-state facilities may decrease further the longer SB8 remains in effect. If financial donations decrease over time, patients’ out-of-pocket costs will increase. Because many people seeking abortion care in Texas are living on low-incomes, they may be delayed in securing sufficient funding, and even short delays will make them ineligible for services. Additionally, given the decreased client volume, facilities may need to cut staff or reduce clinic hours; this may lead to delays in appointment scheduling and more patients becoming ineligible for in-state abortion because, by the time they get to a facility, providers can detect embryonic cardiac activity.

There is early evidence, in the form of long waiting times for appointments, that Texans seeking out-of-state abortion care are straining capacity at the small number of facilities in nearby states. Services outside of Texas may become more difficult to access if restrictions in other states go into effect, such as Oklahoma’s new restrictions on abortion providers and a required mandatory in-person, state-directed counseling visit before medication abortion.

As services become further limited in Texas and nearby states, more people will be unable to obtain facility-based abortion care. Among those most affected will be minors who cannot involve a parent in their care, immigrant families who fear encounters with police and border enforcement, parents who have limited childcare options, and people living at or below poverty, many of whom are Black, Latinx, and other people of color. Some may attempt to end their pregnancies on their own, by purchasing medications online, obtaining medications over the counter in Mexico, or resorting to ineffective or harmful measures. Others will be forced to continue their pregnancies, which is associated with adverse health and economic consequences for women and their children.

Methods

We estimated the percent change (95% confidence intervals) in the number of in-state abortions using negative binomial regression. We calculated the one-way driving distance from Texas cities to facilities in neighboring states using Stata’s georoute command. To obtain information in the number of days until the next available appointment at facilities, we used mystery client calls, in which callers contacted facilities and sought information about abortion but did not schedule an appointment.
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